AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Protein Glycation Inhibitory and Antioxidative Activities of Some Plant Extracts in Vitro

HYE YOUNG KIM* AND KYONG KIM

Food Function Research Division, Korea Food Research Institute, San 46-1 Baekhyun-dong, Bundang-gu, Songnam-si, Kyonggi-do 463-420, Republic of Korea

The protein glycation inhibitory activity of aqueous ethanolic extracts from 25 plant tissues was evaluated in vitro using the model system of bovine serum albumin and fructose. The most bioactive plant tissue was *Allium cepa* (skin), followed by *Illicium religiosum* (bark and wood), *Fagopyrum esculentum* (hull), *Origanum officinalis* (leaf), *Rosmarinus officinalis* (leaf), *Pyrus pyrifolia* (bark), *Acanthopanax senticosus* (bark), *Eugenia caryophllata* (leaf), and *Erigeron annuus* (whole). The extracts with glycation inhibitory activity also showed antioxidative activity when a micellar linoleic acid peroxidation system was applied followed by 2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical cation decolorization and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl free radical scavenging assays. The glycation inhibitory activity was significantly correlated with the antioxidative potency of the extracts. The positive glycation inhibitory and antioxidative activities of these plants might suggest a possible role in targeting aging and diabetic complications.

KEYWORDS: Plants; protein glycation inhibition; antioxidation

INTRODUCTION

The accumulation of the reaction products of protein glycation (nonenzymatic reaction of proteins with glucose and other reducing sugars) in living organisms leads to structural and functional modifications of tissue proteins. Many studies have shown a significant role for glycation in the progress of normal aging and the pathogenesis of age-related diseases, such as diabetes, atherosclerosis, end-stage renal disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and neurodegenerative diseases. Therefore, targeting glycation should have a broad and beneficial effect on aging and age-related diseases. Aminoguanidine, a small hydrazine-like compound, has been synthesized and become one of the most promising pharmacological interventions for glycation inhibition (1-3).

Besides synthesized compounds, plants might offer a new source of glycation inhibitory agents. The extracts of *Garcinia indica* (4), spices (5), and green tea (6, 7) have been reported to inhibit glycation. Some medicinal plants and common botanicals were collected from Korea. Aqueous ethanolic extracts of the plants were tested in a model system. Albumin was selected as a model protein, because it is a key protein found abundantly in human plasma, lymph, and humors throughout the body's essential organs. Under normal conditions albumin is glycated, and this process has been promoted by aging or diabetes. The nature of the reducing sugars influences the rate and extent of the glycation. Fructose instead of glucose was included in our study, because fructose is present in tissues

at a concentration comparable to that of glucose and reacts with protein ~ 10 times more rapidly than glucose (8).

Protein glycation has been associated with the presence of increased oxidative damage in tissues (9-13). However, it has not been known exactly how glycation affects oxidative stress and vice versa. Recently, it has been reported that glycation generated active centers for catalyzing one-electron oxidation—reduction reactions and that glycated proteins accumulated in vivo provided stable active sites for catalyzing the formation of free radicals (14). It has also been suggested that inhibitors of mitochondrial superoxide generation completely prevented hyperglycemia-induced glycation in cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells and that mitochondrial superoxide initiated intracellular glycation (15). In our study, the antioxidative activity of the plant extracts was also tested in vitro, and the relationship to glycation was discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials. Some medicinal plants (Illicium religiosum, Pyrus pyrifolia, Erigeron annuus, Euonymus allata, Phellodendron amjrense, Torreya nucifera, and Thuja orientalis) were collected from wild forests throughout Korea during the months of April–October of 2000, botanically identified, and air-dried in a sunless place. Voucher specimens have been deposited in the laboratory of the Korea Food Research Institute. The other medicinal plants cultivated in Korea (Acanthopanax senticosus, Paeonia suffruticosa, Paeonia lactiflora, Eucommia ulmoides, Cornus officinalis, Saururus chinensis, and Schizandra chinensis), some common botanicals cultivated in Korea (Allium cepa, Origanum saltiva, and Camellia sinensis), some imported spices (Origanum officinalis, Rosmarinus officinalis, Eugenia caryophlata, Thymus vulgaris, Laurus nobilis, and Cinamomum cassia) were

^{*} Corresponding author (e-mail khyey@kfri.re.kr; telephone +82-31-780-9267; fax +82-31-780-9234).

 Table 1. Effects of Plant Extracts on Fluorescence Formation in the BSA-Fructose Reaction in Vitro

no.	family	plant name	tissue used	concn (µg/mL)	RFI ^a (AU)	inhibition ^b (%)	IC ₅₀ ^c (µg/mL)
	control (d water)				1.08 ± 0.02		
	control (DMSO)				1.00 ± 0.00		
1	Liliaceae	Allium cepa	skin	50	31 ± 0.01**	69.5 ± 4.0	$16.8 \pm 5.0s$
				100	$0.28 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	71.0 ± 3.9	
2	Magnoliaceae	Illicium religiosum	bark	200	0.11 ± 0.01 $0.40 \pm 0.02^{**}$	89.8 ± 3.3 66.2 + 1.0	25.6 ± 4.7 rs
Z	waynonaceae	micium rengiosum	Daik	100	0.40 ± 0.02 0.19 + 0.02***	83.8 ± 1.0	20.0 ± 4.715
				200	$0.12 \pm 0.02^{**}$	90.0 ± 2.4	
3	Polygonaceae	Fagopyrum esculentum	hull	50	$0.48 \pm 0.01^{**}$	53.0 ± 0.92	39.0 ± 3.02 g
	55	515		100	$0.34 \pm 0.02^{**}$	66.9 ± 1.89	
				200	$0.05 \pm 0.02^{**}$	94.5 ± 1.02	
4	Labiatae	Origanum officinalis	leaf	50	$0.48 \pm 0.01^{***}$	52.9 ± 0.65	41.4 ± 1.74 pq
				100	$0.20 \pm 0.04^{***}$	80.6 ± 3.17	
F	N 4	//// - / ///		200	$0.06 \pm 0.04^{***}$	94.3 ± 3.67	44 E + 4 00
5	Magnollaceae	illicium religiosum	WOOd	50	0.60 ± 0.06^{-10}	49.7±3.7 725±2.0	46.5 ± 4.800 pq
				100	0.33 ± 0.03	/2.3 ± 2.8 94.2 ± 1.2	
6	Lahiatao	Rosmarinus officinalis	leaf	200	0.19 ± 0.02 0.11 + 0.08**	04.3 ± 1.2 53 1 + 0 15	48.5 ± 0.350ng
0	Labiatac	Rosmannus omenans	icai	100	0.41 ± 0.00 0.35 + 0.01***	65.5 ± 0.43	40.5 ± 0.550pq
				200	$0.08 \pm 0.01^{***}$	92.2 ± 0.72	
7	Rosaceae	Pyrus pyrifolia	bark	50	0.67 ± 0.02**	46.0 ± 1.1	$49.6 \pm 16.5 mp$
		5 15		100	$0.47 \pm 0.05^{**}$	62.2 ± 2.8	
				200	$0.42 \pm 0.03^{**}$	66.6 ± 3.5	
8	Araliaceae	Acanthopanax senticosus	bark	50	$0.37 \pm 0.02^{***}$	47.3 ± 4.1	50.8 ± 3.4 no
				100	$0.32 \pm 0.09^{**}$	60.0 ± 3.2	
				200	0.47 ± 0.09**	65.9 ± 1.4	
9	Myrtaceae	Eugenia caryophilata	leat	50	$0.54 \pm 0.01^{\circ\circ}$	46.6 ± 0.79	55.9 ± 0.54 mn
				100	$28 \pm 0.00^{\circ\circ\circ}$	72.2 ± 0.33	
10	Compositao	Erigoron annuus	whole	200	0.00 ± 0.01 0.52 ± 0.09**	100 ± 3.43	566±65mn
10	Compositae	Engeron annuus	WIDE	100	0.03 ± 0.00 0.29 + 0.001***	45.0 ± 0.1 70.3 + 0.1	50.0 ± 0.5mm
				200	0.27 ± 0.001 0***	100 ± 0.1	
11	Ranunculaceae	Paeonia suffruticosa	root	50	$0.76 \pm 0.05^{**}$	36.5 ± 2.9	63.9 ± 0.8 lm
				100	$0.30 \pm 0.13^{*}$	74.7 ± 7.6	
				200	0***	100 ± 0.0	
12	Labiatae	Thymus vulgaris	leaf	50	$0.70 \pm 0.10^{**}$	29.6 ± 9.87	$85.0 \pm 13.9 k$
				100	$0.42 \pm 0.04^{***}$	58.0 ± 3.66	
				200	$0.22 \pm 0.01^{***}$	78.8 ± 0.60	
13	Gramineae	Oryza sativa var. Suwon 415	seed	50	$0.63 \pm 0.2^{*}$	38.3 ± 0.7	92.5 ± 3.2 jk
				100	$0.47 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	54.0 ± 0.3	
14	Daooniacoao	Pagania lactiflora	root	200	0.26 ± 0.02 0.95 ± 0.02**	/3.0±0./ 207±11	045 + 2 2
14	Paeullaceae	Paeunia lacinora	1001	100	0.65 ± 0.02 0.66 ± 0.05**	20.7 ± 1.1 45.1 ± 3.0	94.0 ± 3.2J
				200	0.00 ± 0.03 0.20 + 0.01***	43.1 ± 3.0 83 3 + 0 5	
15	Theaceae	Camellia sinensis	leaf	50	0.67 ± 0.03	31.1 ± 10.8	97.9 + 18.9ii
				100	0.47 ± 0.06	52.4 ± 4.7	
				200	$0.21 \pm 0.08^{*}$	79.7 ± 2.2	
16	Lauraceae	Laurus nobilis	leaf	50	$0.67 \pm 0.05^{***}$	33.6 ± 5.25	105 ± 8.19 hi
				100	$0.52 \pm 0.01^{***}$	48.4 ± 0.56	
				200	$0.36 \pm 0.00^{***}$	64.4 ± 0.09	
17	Eucomimiaceae	Eucommia ulmoides	leaf	50	$0.85 \pm 0.02^{*}$	16.6 ± 1.0	$109.7 \pm 2.5h$
				100	0.42 ± 0.31	58.4 ± 4.6	
10	Coloctracopo	Euonymus alata	root	200	0.34 ± 0.02	05.5 ± 2.9	$110.0 \pm 1.4a$
10	Celasilaceae	Luonymus alata	1001	100	0.34 ± 0.08 0.12 + 0.07**	40.0 ± 3.7 17.2 ± 5.4	110.7 ± 1.49
				200	0.42 ± 0.07 0.35 + 0.04**	565 ± 54	
19	Cornaceae	Cornus officinalis	fruit	50	$0.92 \pm 0.03^{*}$	40.0 ± 3.7	158.0 ± 10.9ef
				100	$0.64 \pm 0.00^{**}$	47.2 ± 5.4	
				200	$0.44 \pm 0.05^{*}$	56.5 ± 5.4	
20	Rutaceae	Phellodendron amjrense	leaf	50	1.06 ± 0.12	14.6 ± 7.0	$160.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ef}$
				100	$1.02\pm0.01^{\star}$	17.7 ± 0.8	
_	_	_		200	$0.38 \pm 0.02^{**}$	69.2 ± 1.4	
21	Гахасеае	Torreya nucifera	leaf	50	0.83 ± 0.05*	18.5 ± 3.5	$166.1 \pm 0.8 de$
				100	0.53 ± 0.003**	47.7 ± 0.3	
22	0	Thuis anis-t-l'-	leof	200	$0.51 \pm 0.001^{*}$	50.2 ± 0.1	170.0 - 0.0 -
22	Cypressaceae	i nuja orientalis	lear	50	$0.71 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	21.8 ± 3.5	$170.0 \pm 2.0d$
				200	0.03 ± 0.05 0.42 ± 0.05**	ンU.I エ 3.9 56 Q + 2 A	
23	Saururaceae	Saururus chinensis	leaf	50	0.42 ± 0.05 0.75 ± 0.19	25.0 ± 3.0 25.2 ± 0.9	174.5 + 8.1cd
25		Gaararag Grimoligig	icui	100	0.70 ± 0.2	27.8 ± 1.7	177.0 ± 0.160
				200	0.41 ± 0.08	58.6 ± 2.0	

Table 1 (Continued)

no.	family	plant name	tissue used	concn (µg/mL)	RFI ^a (AU)	inhibition ^b (%)	IC ₅₀ ^c (µg/mL)
24	Lauraceae	Cinamomum cassia	bark	50	0.80 ± 0.02	19.2 ± 1.6	$182.7 \pm 10.1c$
				100	0.58 ± 0.23	31.6 ± 4.0	
				200	0.43 ± 0.03	53.7 ± 1.3	
25	Magnoliaceae	Schizandra chinensis	fruit	50	$0.83 \pm 0.03^{*}$	17.5 ± 1.5	$352.4 \pm 2.5a$
				100	0.65 ± 0.27	25.2 ± 8.2	
				200	0.54 ± 0.24	36.5 ± 8.2	
	aminoguanidine			50	$0.43 \pm 0.00^{***}$	60.6 ± 0.2	$27.7 \pm 3.2r$
	-			100	$0.29 \pm 0.1^{**}$	72.9 ± 0.0	
				200	$0.024 \pm 0.04^{\star\star\star}$	97.8 ± 2.2	

^{*a*} Relative fluorescence intensity. The fluorescence intensity was measured at ex 370 nm and em 440 nm. The intensity of each blank (without fructose) was subtracted from the intensity of each sample. AU means arbitrary unit. ^{*b*} Percentage inhibition was determined as follows: inhibition (%) = 100 – [fluorescence intensity (sample) – fluorescence intensity (blank of sample)] × 100/[fluorescence intensity (control) – fluorescence intensity (blank of control)]. ^{*c*} Concentration of an inhibitor required to inhibit 50% of the control. Calculated from linear regression equation in semilogarithmic manner. *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01; ***, *p* < 0.001 vs control. Values with different letters in a column are significantly different (*p* < 0.05).

purchased in dried form at commercial markets. All of the plant tissues were powdered with a grinder.

Preparation of Extract. The powdered tissue was extracted with ethanol/water (50:50, v/v) at a ratio of 20 mL/g for 2 h at room temperature three times, followed by filtration with filter papers (Toyo no. 2 and 4, Advantec). The combined filtrate was concentrated in a rotary vacuum evaporator ($T \le 40$ °C) until all extraction solvent was completely removed so that solid residue was obtained. The residue was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and used for subsequent bioassays.

Protein Glycation. The procedure followed that of McPherson et al. (*16*) and was modified. Bovine serum albumin (BSA; 10 mg/mL) was incubated with D-fructose (250 mM) in potassium phosphate buffer (200 mM; pH 7.4). DMSO used for dissolving the residue was found to have no effect on the reaction at <2% (v/v). All of the reagents and extracts were sterilized by filtration through 0.2 μ m membrane filters, and the mixture was incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in air for 6 days. The fluorescence intensity was measured at an excitation of 370 nm and an emission of 440 nm with a spectofluorometer (Aminco Bowman). Aminoguanidine (Sigma Chemical Co.) dissolved in distilled water was also tested as a known inhibitor.

Antioxidant Activity. *Fluorescent Lipid Peroxidation*. One hundred millimolar methyl linoleate were emulsified in a 40 mM glycine/0.3% (w/v) Tween 20 solution by sonication under ice-cold conditions. Twenty microliters (a $^{1}/_{50}$ volume) of the dried residue in DMSO was added to 1 mL of the emulsion. The mixture was incubated at 50 °C for 1 week, after which time the fluorescent product was extracted with a 6-fold volume of ethanol/diethyl ether (3:1) and the fluorescence intensity measured (excitation at 335 nm, emission at 430 nm) with a spectrofluorometer (*17*).

2,2'-Azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) Radical Cation (ABTS⁺) Decolorization Assay. The preformed ABTS⁺ was generated by oxidation of 7 mM ABTS with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and reduced in the presence of the plant extracts. After the addition of 990 μ L of diluted ABTS⁺ solution (A_{734nm} = 0.700 ± 0.020) to 10 μ L of the plant extracts in ethanol, the absorbance reading was taken at 30 °C exactly 1 min after initial mixing and up to 6 min (18).

Free Radical Scavenging Activity on 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). For 20 μ L of each extract residue in methanol, 98 μ M DPPH was added in methanol of a total volume of 1 mL. Five minutes later, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. The radical scavenging activity was calculated as a percentage of DPPH decolorization compared to the control (19).

Analysis of Total Phenolic Content. The concentration of total phenolics in the plant extract was estimated using the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (20). Aliquots of 0.1 mL of the extract residue dissolved in DMSO (0.1 mg/mL) was added in a test tube with 0.5 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and mixed thoroughly. After an interval of 3 min, 0.5 mL of 10% Na₂CO₃ solution was added, and the mixture was allowed to stand for 1 h at room temperature. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 760 nm. A standard curve using gallic acid was also prepared. Results were expressed as milligrams per gram of extract of gallic acid equivalents.

Analysis of Total Flavonoid Content. Aliquots of 0.5 mL of the extract residue dissolved in DMSO (0.1 mg/mL) were added to equal volumes of a solution of 2% AlCl₃• $6H_2O$ (2 g in 100 mL of ethanol). The mixture was vigorously shaken, and absorbance was read at 365.7 nm after 10 min at room temperature. Flavonoid contents were expressed in milligrams of quercetin equivalent per gram of extract (21).

Analysis of Ascorbic Acid Content. The ascorbic acid contents in the plant extract residue were analyzed using HPLC with a 900 series binary pump, an autosampler, and a diode array detector (Jasco Corp.) linked to a Borwin data handling system. Reversed phase separations were carried out at room temperature using a 4.5×150 mm i.d., 5μ m XTerra RP₁₈ column (Waters, Milford, MA). Solvent A was 100% methanol, and solvent B was 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.7). Initial condition was 0% A; 0–6 min, 0% A; 6–13 min, 20% A; 13–15 min, 20% A; 15–17 min, 80% A; and back to the initial condition eluted at flow rate of 1 mL/min. L-Ascorbic acid was detected at 270 nm and identified according to the retention times and UV spectra of standards (22).

Analysis of Organic Acid Content. The standard materials of such organic acids, that is, oxalic acid, citric acid, malic acid, malonic acid, succinic acid, formic acid, and acetic acid, were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). All organic acids were determined by ion exchange HPLC analysis system (Jasco Corp.). Conditions for the ion exchange column were as follows: Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H, 300×7.8 mm; elution, 0.01 N sulfuric acid solution; flow rate, 0.6 mL/min; volume of sample solution injected, $20 \ \mu$ L; detector, UV 210 nm (23).

Statistical Analysis. Experiments were performed in duplicate and replicated three times. All values were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD). Student's t test, Duncan's multiple-range test, and correlation analysis program in the SAS (24) were used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study we tested extracts of 25 plant tissues for their inhibitory activity on protein glycation (**Table 1**). Twenty-two extracts inhibited the reaction >50% at 200 μ g of dried extract residue/mL, inhibited significantly at concentrations of 50, 100, and 200 μ g/mL, and sustained dose dependency. The most active extract was that of *A. cepa* (skin). Its IC₅₀ value was 16.8 μ g/mL and lower than that of aminoguanidine at 27.7 μ g/mL. *I. religiosum* (bark) was the second most bioactive extract followed by *Fagopyrum esculentum* (hull), *O. officinalis* (leaf), *I. religiosum* (wood), *R. officinalis* (leaf), *P. pyrifolia* (bark), *A. senticosus* (bark), *E. caryophllata* (leaf), and *E. annuus* (whole). The IC₅₀ values of these were below 60 μ g/mL.

Some spices (*O. officinalis*, *T. vulgaris*, and *E. caryophllata*) and green tea (*C. sinensis*) have been reported to inhibit glycation in vitro. The methanolic extracts of three spices were reported to inhibit, respectively, $\sim 20\%$ at 1 mg/mL the



Figure 1. Relationship between glycation inhibition and antioxidative activity [lipid peroxidation inhibition (●), DPPH scavenging activity (▲), and ABTS decolorization (■)] of plant extracts.

Table 2. Antioxidative Activity of Plant Extracts

	IC_{50}^{a} (µg/mL)				
plant	lipid peroxidation	ABTS	DPPH		
no.	inhibition	decolorization	scavenging		
1	88 2 + 5 23ofahi	7.60 ± 0.90 lm	$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{10}$		
2	8 00 + 1 /0i	1.00 ± 0.70 m	4.47 ± 0.37 K		
2	85.3 ± 6.00 fahi	19.8 ± 0.13 hi	11 7 +0 70ik		
4	58.2 ± 2.78 mbf	5.13 ± 0.26 lmn	16.8 ± 0.76 jk		
5	39 7 + 11 4hii	8 78 + 0 65	60.3 ± 0.76 jk		
6	112 ± 8.06 defa	14.4 ± 1.19 ik	68.4 ± 0.21 fg		
7	126 ± 2.81 cdefa	17.9 ± 0.52 ii	$182 \pm 6.01b$		
8	130+ 3.30 cdef	80.9 ± 5.56	111 + 1.72de		
9	36.1 ± 1.29ii	$2.75 \pm 0.89n$	20.6 ± 1.92 ik		
10	162 ± 24.8 bcd	$125 \pm 1.06a$	$146 \pm 14.3 \text{bc}$		
11	143 ± 12.4 cdef	15.0 ± 1.00 ik	$59.9 \pm 5.00a$		
12	72.2 ± 14.1 fahii	14.3 ± 1.04 ik	91.9 ± 0.45ef		
13	137 ± 20.0cdef	$46.2 \pm 0.62 f$	137 ± 0.00 bc		
14	217 ± 39.0b	$48.2 \pm 0.52 f$	94.4 ±0.30ef		
15	132 ± 4.73 cdef	3.89 ± 0.89mn	$4.75 \pm 0.05k$		
16	126 ± 5.23 cdefg	20.2 ± 3.31 hi	55.2 ± 1.27 gh		
17	96.6 ± 3.50defghi	$70.6 \pm 1.22d$	108 ± 18.2 de		
18	99.9 ± 2.30defghi	$23.4 \pm 0.45h$	69.3 ± 6.54 fg		
19	145 ± 22.1cde	$61.0 \pm 3.07e$	129 ± 3.20 cd		
20	183 ± 23.5bc	$102 \pm 0.19b$	$103 \pm 3.99e$		
21	107 ± 2.35defgh	40.2 ± 2.20 g	91.6 ± 0.72ef		
22	217 ± 20.0b	$58.3 \pm 1.34e$	$151 \pm 14.7 bc$		
23	110 ± 3.51 defgh	37.3 ± 0.39 g	$64.5 \pm 2.30g$		
24	$107 \pm 3.22 defgh$	$12.7 \pm 1.21 \bar{k}$	33.3 ± 21.1ĥij		
25	$416 \pm 87.4a$	122 ± 3.94a	539 ± 21.7a		
Ab	$6.45 \pm 0.27 j$	$1.97 \pm 0.07n$	64.5 ± 0.11 g		
Bc	$16.2 \pm 0.00j$	3.91 ± 0.47 mn	$24.5 \pm 1.25ijk$		
C ^d	$8.42 \pm 0.12j$	4.79 ± 0.64 mn	$170 \pm 0.60b$		

Table 3.	Total	Polyphenol,	Flavonoid,	and	Ascorbate	Contents
(Milligram	ns per	Gram of Re	esidue) of F	Plant	Extracts	

total polyphenol ^a	total flavonoid ^b	L-ascorbic acid
$472.62 \pm 14.34d$	323.18 ± 3.95a	0.00 ± 0.00 g
423.00 ± 15.89fg	$186.31 \pm 7.90b$	0.05 ± 0.00 g
438.90 ± 7.62ef	$92.74 \pm 5.93 f$	$0.88 \pm 0.07 efg$
$257.41 \pm 2.50h$	66.20 ± 3.95 j	1.09 ± 0.14ef
$502.57 \pm 14.34c$	41.06 ± 3.95 kl	0.02 ± 0.00 g
114.97 ± 5.74lmn	$150.00 \pm 0.00d$	$0.12 \pm 0.01 fg$
$460.45 \pm 2.92 de$	38.27 ± 3.95 klm	$0.00 \pm 0.00g$
138.01 ± 8.12 kl	$144.41 \pm 3.95d$	$2.34 \pm 0.32d$
$532.89 \pm 5.45b$	113.69 ± 7.90e	$2.33 \pm 0.29 d$
187.12 ±11.14j	43.85 ± 3.95 kl	0.23 ± 0.06 fg
639.74 ± 2.25a	81.56 ± 1.98 gh	$8.83 \pm 0.59b$
195.95 ± 6.26j	112.29 ± 1.98e	$0.01 \pm 0.00g$
$223.10 \pm 8.03i$	70.39 ± 1.98 ji	$0.60 \pm 0.04 efg$
110.29 ± 4.26mn	$31.28 \pm 1.98 m$	6.79 ± 0.58c
405.67 ± 3.09g	75.98 ± 1.98 hi	12.44 ± 1.91a
148.23 ± 7.62k	$45.25 \pm 5.93 k$	0.14 ± 0.01 fg
$100.94 \pm 3.71n$	85.75 ± 3.95 fg	$0.08 \pm 0.00g$
190.61 ± 12.91j	38.27 ± 0.00 kľm	$0.00 \pm 0.00g$
99.47 ± 1.70n	35.47 ± 0.00 lm	0.66 ± 0.12efg
132.18 ± 6.36 klm	$110.89 \pm 0.00e$	$1.32 \pm 0.14e$
95.12 ± 8.94no	42.46 ± 1.98 kl	$0.71 \pm 0.05 efg$
132.33 ± 3.72 klm	$161.17 \pm 0.00c$	$0.01 \pm 0.00g$
$222.13 \pm 4.46i$	78.77 ± 5.93ghi	$0.13 \pm 0.02 fg$
420.99 ± 4.13 fg	$84.36 \pm 5.93 fgh$	$0.04 \pm 0.00g$
73.11 ± 3.090	29.89 ± 3.95m	$0.45 \pm 0.04 efg$
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{total polyphenol}^{a} \\ 472.62 \pm 14.34d \\ 423.00 \pm 15.89fg \\ 438.90 \pm 7.62ef \\ 257.41 \pm 2.50h \\ 502.57 \pm 14.34c \\ 114.97 \pm 5.74lmn \\ 460.45 \pm 2.92de \\ 138.01 \pm 8.12kl \\ 532.89 \pm 5.45b \\ 187.12 \pm 11.14j \\ 639.74 \pm 2.25a \\ 195.95 \pm 6.26j \\ 223.10 \pm 8.03i \\ 110.29 \pm 4.26mn \\ 405.67 \pm 3.09g \\ 148.23 \pm 7.62k \\ 100.94 \pm 3.71n \\ 190.61 \pm 12.91j \\ 99.47 \pm 1.70n \\ 132.18 \pm 6.36klm \\ 95.12 \pm 8.94no \\ 132.33 \pm 3.72klm \\ 222.13 \pm 4.46i \\ 420.99 \pm 4.13fg \\ 73.11 \pm 3.090 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{total polyphenol}^{a} & \mbox{total flavonoid}^{b} \\ \mbox{472.62} \pm 14.34d & \mbox{323.18} \pm 3.95a \\ \mbox{423.00} \pm 15.89fg & \mbox{186.31} \pm 7.90b \\ \mbox{438.90} \pm 7.62ef & \mbox{92.74} \pm 5.93f \\ \mbox{257.41} \pm 2.50h & \mbox{66.20} \pm 3.95j \\ \mbox{502.57} \pm 14.34c & \mbox{41.06} \pm 3.95kl \\ \mbox{114.97} \pm 5.74lmn & \mbox{150.00} \pm 0.00d \\ \mbox{460.45} \pm 2.92de & \mbox{38.27} \pm 3.95klm \\ \mbox{138.01} \pm 8.12kl & \mbox{14.44} \pm 3.95d \\ \mbox{532.89} \pm 5.45b & \mbox{13.66} \pm 7.90e \\ \mbox{187.12} \pm 11.14j & \mbox{43.85} \pm 3.95kl \\ \mbox{639.74} \pm 2.25a & \mbox{81.56} \pm 1.98gh \\ \mbox{195.95} \pm 6.26j & \mbox{112.29} \pm 1.98e \\ \mbox{223.10} \pm 8.03i & \mbox{70.39} \pm 1.98ji \\ \mbox{10.29} \pm 4.26mn & \mbox{31.28} \pm 1.98m \\ \mbox{405.67} \pm 3.09g & \mbox{75.98} \pm 1.98hi \\ \mbox{148.23} \pm 7.62k & \mbox{45.25} \pm 5.93k \\ \mbox{100.94} \pm 3.71n & \mbox{85.75} \pm 3.95fg \\ \mbox{190.61} \pm 12.91j & \mbox{32.77} \pm 0.00klm \\ \mbox{192.18} \pm 6.36klm & \mbox{110.89} \pm 0.00e \\ \mbox{95.12} \pm 8.94no & \mbox{42.46} \pm 1.98kl \\ \mbox{132.33} \pm 3.72klm & \mbox{161.17} \pm 0.00c \\ \mbox{222.13} \pm 4.46i & \mbox{78.77} \pm 5.93ghi \\ \mbox{420.99} \pm 4.13fg & \mbox{84.36} \pm 5.93fg \\ \mbox{73.11} \pm 3.090 & \mbox{28.9} \pm 3.95m \\ \end{tabular}$

^{*a*} Expressed as mg of gallic acid equiv/g of dry weight of residue. Values within each column followed by the same letters are not significantly different (p < 0.05). ^{*b*} Expressed as mg of quercetin equiv/g of dry weight of residue. Values within each column followed by the same letters are not significantly different (p < 0.05).

^{*a*} Concentration of plant extract residue required to inhibit 50% of the control calculated from linear regression equation in semilogarithmic manner. Values with different letters in a column are significantly different (*p* < 0.05). ^{*b*} A, L-ascorbic acid. ^{*c*} B, DL- α -tocopherol. ^{*d*} C, Trolox.

fluorescence formed by BSA and glucose (25). A boiled water extract of green tea was reported to inhibit \sim 50% at 100 μ g/mL the fluorescence formed by human serum albumin and glucose (6).

The edible parts of *A. cepa* and *F. esculentum* have been reported to exhibit a wide range of biological effects, including antioxidative, antimutagenic, and cardioprotective actions due to the high content of flavonoids (26, 27). However, their inedible parts have not been studied much. *I. religiosum* grows

wild in southern coastal areas and on the island of Cheju in Korea and has not been utilized industrially.

The formation of fluorescent lipid peroxidation products was suppressed by the addition of the plant extracts (**Table 2**). *I. religiosum* (bark) inhibited most potently the peroxidation comparable to L-ascorbic acid and Trolox, followed by *E. caryophllata* (leaf) and *I. religiosum* (wood). In the ABTS⁺ radical cation assay, *C. sinensis* (leaf) was the most potent product, comparable to L-ascorbic acid, followed by *E. caryophllata* (leaf), *I. religiosum* (bark), *O. officinalis* (leaf), and *A. cepa* (skin). In the DPPH assay, *A. cepa* (skin) exhibited the most potent radical scavenging activity at an extent almost 5 times higher than that of DL- α -tocopherol. Following were *C. sinensis*

Table 4. Composition of Nonvolatile Organic Acids in Plant Extracts^a

no.	acetic acid	citric acid	succinic acid	oxalic acid	malic acid	malonic acid	total
1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
2	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
3	nd	nd	201.29 ± 3.50	nd	51.09 ± 1.30	nd	252.38 ± 5.11
4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
5	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
8	nd	10.81 ± 2.30	nd	nd	45.11 ± 3.36	nd	55.92 ± 6.41
9	nd	nd	15.81 ± 2.19	nd	nd	nd	15.81 ± 2.19
10	nd	2.85 ± 0.13	103.06 ± 3.31	nd	nd	4.56 ± 0.36	110.47 ± 4.90
11	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
12	nd	nd	12.02 ± 3.56	nd	nd	nd	12.02 ± 3.56
13	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
14	nd	24.61 ± 3.64	nd	nd	nd	nd	24.61 ± 3.64
15	nd	nd	227.01 ± 5.91	49.01 ± 0.36	14.14 ± 0.24	nd	290.16 ± 7.00
16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
17	44.33 ± 5.64	nd	12.78 ± 0.50	nd	17.22 ± 0.73	nd	74.33 ± 7.09
18	nd	nd	18.14 ± 4.48	nd	nd	nd	18.14 ± 4.48
19	nd	nd	nd	nd	110.98±11.79	nd	110.98 ± 11.79
20	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
21	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
22	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
23	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
24	nd	nd	nd	nd	n.d.	nd	nd
25	nd	$\textbf{272.99} \pm \textbf{9.56}$	nd	nd	68.32 ± 4.08	nd	341.31 ± 12.00

^a Data are expressed as mg/g dry weight of residue \pm SD (n = 3). nd, not detected.

(leaf), *F. esculentum* (hull), *O. officinalis* (leaf), and *E. caryophllata* (leaf). Spices such as *E. caryophllata* and *O. officinalis* and green tea (*C. sinensis*) have been well-known to possess antioxidaive activity (5, 25). The antioxidative activity of *I. religiosum* has never been reported.

Attempts to relate glycation inhibition with antioxidation have been reported. Several kinds of tea extracts showed protein glycation inhibitory activity and DPPH radical scavenging activity (6). Spice constituents scavenging free radicals inhibited protein glycation, but the order of potency was not exactly consistent (5). Garcinol isolated from *G. indica* fruit rind showed antioxidative and glycation inhibitory activities (4). However, analysis for statistical correlation between the two activities has not been reported.

The statistical significance of the association between the glycation inhibition and the antioxidation by the plant extracts was analyzed in our study. The IC₅₀ values for the glycation inhibition were significantly correlated with those of lipid peroxidation inhibition (r = 0.7592 and p = 0.0001), those of ABTS⁺ antioxidation (r = 0.5010 and p = 0.0001), and those of DPPH radical scavenging effect (r = 0.7604 and p = 0.0001), respectively (**Figure 1**). The IC₅₀ for glycation inhibition was correlated significantly with all three IC₅₀ values for antioxidation (r = 0.7956 and p = 0.0001). These associations between the glycation inhibitory activity and the antioxidative activity by the plant extracts might support indirectly the suggestion that protein glycation generates free radicals (*14*) and that superoxide formation initiates protein glycation (*15*).

Most of the glycation inhibitory phytochemicals contained in plants or isolated from plants have been reported to be polyphenolic compounds. The active glycation inhibitory constituents of green tea have been regarded as polyphenols (28). Quercetin, eriodictyol, 5,6,4'-trihydroxy-7, 8,3'-trimethoxyflavone, and cirsilineol were isolated from *T. vulgaris* as glycation inhibitors (25). Garcinol, which is a polyisoprenylated benzophenone derivative and has phenolic hydroxyl groups, was isolated from *G. indica* fruit as a glycation inhibitor (4). The three most potent plants in our results contain high contents of polyphenols. A. *cepa* skin contains quercetin at 5000-12000 mg/kg of dry weight (29), *I. religiosum* bark contains quercitrin at 50000 mg/kg of dry weight (our unpublished data), and *F. esculentum* hull contains rutin at 130-350 mg/kg of dry weight (27). The potent glycation inhibitory activity of these plants might be related to their high content of polyphenols.

For common compounds responsible for the glycation inhibitory and antioxidative activities of the plant extracts, the contents of total polyphenol, total flavonoid, and ascorbic acid were determined (**Table 3**). Attempts to correlate statistically the levels of the common compounds with the glycation inhibitory and the antioxidative activities were less successful. Although correlation with total polyphenols was detected with glycation inhibition (r = -0.4955, p = 0.0118), lipid peroxidation (r =-0.4768, p = 0.0160), ABTS⁺ (r = -0.6262, p = 0.0008), and DPPH (r = -0.4247, p = 0.0343), the other individual correlations were lower. It seems likely that the glycation inhibitory and antioxidative activities of the plant extracts are partly due to total polyphenol content, but solely due to common compounds such as total flavonoids and ascorbic acid.

In the glycation assay, chelating agents such as organic acids inhibit the reaction. The content of citric acid and other multibasic organic acids that commonly occur in plants was measured in the extracts (**Table 4**). The correlation of total organic acid level with the glycation inhibitory activity was not statistically significant. It seems likely that the glycation inhibitory activity of the extracts is not due to chelating agents such as organic acids.

The potent glycation inhibitory and antioxidative activities of *A. cepa* (skin), *I. religiosum* (bark and wood), and *F. esculentum* (hull) suggest their possible role in targeting diabetic complications and aging.

LITERATURE CITED

 Vlassara, H.; Bucala, R.; Striker, L. Biology of disease: Pathogenic effects of advanced glycosylation: Biochemical, biologic, and clinical implications for diabetes and aging. *Lab. Invest.* **1994**, *70*, 138–151.

- (2) Thorpe, S. R.; Baynes, J. W. Role of the Maillard reaction in diabetes mellitus and diseases of aging. *Drugs Aging* 1996, 9, 69–77.
- (3) Singh, R.; Barden, A.; Mori, T.; Beilin, L. Advanced glycation end-products: a review. *Diabetologia* 2001, 44, 129–146.
- (4) Yamaguchi, F.; Ariga, T.; Yoshimura, Y.; Nakazawa, H. Antioxidative and anti-glycation activity of garcinol from *Garcinia indica* fruit rind. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2000, 48, 180–185.
- (5) Oya, T.; Osawa, T.; Kawakishi, S. Spice constituents scavenging free radicals and inhibiting pentosidine formation in a model system. *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* **1997**, *61*, 263–266.
- (6) Kinae, N.; Shimoi, K.; Masumori, S.; Harusawa, M.; Furugori, M. Suppression of the formation of advanced glycosylation products by tea extracts. In *Food Phytochemicals for Cancer Prevention II*; Ho, C.-T., Ed.; ACS Symposium Series 547; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1994; pp 68– 75.
- (7) Kinae, N.; Masumori, S.; Nagai, R.; Shimoi, K. Inhibition of Maillard reaction by tea extract in streptozotocin-treated rats. In *Maillard Reactions in Chemistry, Food, and Health*; Labuza, T. P., Reineccius, G. A., Monnier, V., O'Brien, J., Baynes, J., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, U.K., 1994; pp 369–374.
- (8) Suarez, G.; Rajaram, R.; Oronsky, A. L.; Gawinowicz, M. A. Nonenzymatic glycation of bovine serum albumin by fructose (fructation), comparison with the Maillard reaction initiated by glucose. J. Biol. Chem. **1989**, 264, 3674–3679.
- (9) Perry, R. E.; Swamy, M. S.; Abraham, E. C. Progressive changes in lens crystallin glycation and high-molecular-wight aggregate formation leading to cataract development in streptozotocindiabetic rats. *Exp. Eye Res.* **1987**, *44*, 269–282.
- (10) Wolff, S. P.; Jiang, Z. Y.; Hunt, J. V. Protein glycation and oxidative stress in diabetes mellitus and aging. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **1991**, *10*, 339–352.
- (11) Kristal, B. S.; Yu, B. P. An emerging hypothesis: synergistic induction of aging by free radicals and Maillard reactions. J. *Geron. Biol. Sci.* **1992**, *47*, B107–B114.
- (12) Chappey, O.; Dosquet, C.; Wautier, J.-L. Advanced glycation end products, oxidant stress and vascular lesions. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* **1997**, *27*, 97–108.
- (13) Miyata, T.; Wada, Y.; Cai, Z.; Iida, Y.; Horie, K.; Yasuda, Y.; Maeda, K.; Kurokawa, K.; van Ypersele de Strihou, C. Implication of an increased oxidative stress in the formation of advanced glycation end products in patients with end-stage renal failure. *Kidney Int.* **1997**, *51*, 1170–1181.
- (14) Yim, M. B.; Yim, H. S.; Lee, C.; Kang, S. O.; Chock, P. B. Protein glycation: creation of catalytic sites for free radical generation. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **2001**, *928*, 48–53.
- (15) Nishikawa, T.; Edelstein, D.; Du, X. L.; Yamagishi, S.; Matsumura, T.; Kaneda, Y.; Yorek, M. A.; Beebe, D.; Oates, P. J.; Hammes, H. P.; Giardino, I.; Brownlee, M. Normalizing mitochondrial superoxide production blocks three pathways of hyperglycaemic damage. *Nature* **2000**, *404*, 787–790.
- (16) McPherson, I. D.; Shilton, B. H.; Walton, P. J. Role of fructose in glycation and cross-linking of proteins. *Biochemistry* **1988**, 27, 1901.

- (17) Shimasaki, H. Assay of fluorescent lipid peroxidation products. In *Methods in Enzymology. Vol. 233, Oxygen Radicals in Biological Systems, Part C*; Packer, L., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1994.
- (18) Re, R.; Pellegrini, N.; Proteggente, A.; Pannala, A.; Yang, M.; Rice-Evans, C. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **1999**, 26, 1231–1237.
- (19) Lee, S. K.; Mbwambo, Z. H.; Chung, H.-S.; Luyengi, L.; Games, E. J. C.; Mehta, R. G.; Kinghorn, A. D.; Pezzuto, J. M. Evaluation of the antioxidant potential of natural products. *Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screen.* **1998**, *1*, 35–46.
- (20) Singleton, V. L.; Rossi, J. A. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolibdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1965**, *16*, 144–158.
- (21) Luximon-Ramma, A.; Bahorun, T.; Soobrattee, M. A.; Aruoma, O. I. Antioxidant activities of phenolic, proanthocyanidin, and flavonoid components in extracts of *Cassia fiatula*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 5042–5047.
- (22) Dennison, D. B.; Brawley, T. G.; Hunter, G. L. K. Rapid highperformance liquid chromatographic determination of ascorbic acid and combined ascorbic acid-dehydroascorbic acid in beverages. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1981, 29, 927–929.
- (23) Bissell, P.; Ewart, A.; Santippawan, W. Loading concentrations for tarraric acid malic acid for single column HPLC organic acid analysis. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1989**, *40*, 316–319.
- (24) SAS Users' Guide; Statistical Analysis Systems Institute: Cary, NC, 1998.
- (25) Morimitsu, Y. Protein glycation inhibitors from thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*). Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem. **1995**, 59, 2018–2021.
- (26) Cook, N. C.; Samman, S. Flavonoids—Chemistry, metabolism, cardioprotective effects, and dietary sources. *Nutr. Biochem.* **1996**, 7, 66–76.
- (27) Dietrych-Szostak, D.; Oleszek, W. Effect of processing on the flavonoid content in buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) grain. J. Agric. Food Chem. **1999**, 47, 4384–4387.
- (28) Kinae, N.; Yamashita, M.; Esaki, S.; Kamiya, S. Inhibitory effects of tea extracts on the formation of advanced glycosylation products. In *The Maillard Reaction in Food Processing, Human Nutrition and Physiology*; Finot, P. A., Aeschbacher, H. U., Hurrell, R. F., Liardon, R., Eds.; Birkhauser: Basel, Switzerland, 1990; pp 221–226.
- (29) Hertog, M. G. L.; Hollman, P. C. H.; Katan, M. B. Content of potentially anticarcinogenic flavonoids of 28 vegetables and 9 fruits commonly consumed in The Netherlands. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1992**, *40*, 2379–2383.

Received for review August 2, 2002. Revised manuscript received December 30, 2002. Accepted December 30, 2002. This work was supported by Grant R04-2001-000-00236-0 from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

JF020850T